#### **OMNI Survey Results** 5/19/2009

1. What is your position and department?

542 Employees from 202 distinct Departments

2. How long have you used OMNI?		
3 months or less	1	0%
4 months - year	20	3%
1 to 2 years	101	17%
More than 2 years	484	79%
Don't use OMNI	5	1%
Total	611	100%

3. How frequently do you use OMNI?		
Daily	427	71%
Weekly	158	26%
Monthly	8	1%
Less than monthly	10	2%
Total	603	100%

4. Which OMNI modules do you use?			
Recruiting		241	44%
Time entry/approval	427	79%	
Appointment Process - e-PAF		259	48%
Purchasing (e-Procurement, Receiving)		335	62%
Travel/Expenses		348	64%
Ability to look up budget balances		297	55%
Other		152	28%
Most Common other Modules:			
Employee Self Service	45		
Accounts Payable	27		
Asset Management	10		

5. For which OMNI modules have you re	ceived training?		
Recruiting		174	31%
Time entry/approval		329	59%
Appointment Processing - ePAF		197	35%
Purchasing (eProcurement, Receiving)		324	58%
Travel/Expenses		332	60%
Ability to look up budget balances		234	41%
Other		124	24%
Most Common other Modules:			
Contracts & Grants	19		
General Ledger	17		
Asset Management	12		
Reporting	10		
Accounts Payable	9		

### OMNI Survey Results 5/19/2009

	5/15/20						
6. Please rate your satisfaction with the OMNI training	you received						
	Extremely Dissatisfied (0)	Dissatisfied (1)	Neutral (2)	Satisfied (3)	Extremely Satisfied (4)	Total	Ave Sc
Recruiting	6	8	56	144	58	272	2
Comments:	2% # Respondents	3%	21%	53%	21%	100%	
Found on-the-job training more useful than classroom	11						
training Don't remember training/didn't retain information from	11						
training	6						
Need for better communication of ways to further utilize the system	3						
	3	9	61	177	118	368	3
Time entry/approval	1%	2%	17%	48%	32%	100%	
Comments: Found on-the-job training more useful than classroom	# Respondents						
training	9						
Classroom Training and job aids are helpful	7						
Departments are helpful for questions or complexities	3						
Don't remember training/didn't retain information from training	3						
Difficult to use	3						
Appointment Processing - ePAF	4	8	37	112	60	221	3
	2%	4%	17%	51%	27%	100%	
Comments: Found on-the-job training more useful than classroom	# Respondents						
training	5						
Training is helpful Need additional training materials	3 3						
Purchasing (eProcurement, Receiving)	3	13	60	166	98	340	3
	1%	4%	18%	49%	29%	100%	
Comments: Training is helpful and straight-forward	# Respondents 6						
Training is missing key concepts and information/more							
hands-on experience needed	5						
Need additional training materials for eMarket, Pcard, payment tracking, reporting	4						
	3						
Training is too lengthy, confusing, and cumbersome Found on-the-job training more useful than classroom	0						
training	3						
Lack of knowledgeable trainers makes understanding concepts difficult	3						
	4	6	62	181	97	350	3.
Travel/Expenses	1%	2%	18%	52%	28%	100%	
Comments:	# Respondents						
Found on-the-job training more useful than classroom training	10						
Training is missing key concepts and information/more							
hands-on experience needed/more classroom sessions are needed	7						
Sessions are needed Training is helpful and incorporates examples from							
current work	4						
Ability to look up budget balances	5	14	66	128	65 00%	278	2.
Comments:	2% # Respondents	5%	24%	46%	23%	100%	
Difficult to use/laborsome to find	, nosponuents						
information/consolidate number of queries/too	0						
complex/need for continual communication of ways to better utilize the system/simplify processes and	8						
training							
Lack of knowledgeable trainers makes understanding	6						
concepts difficult/found on-the-job training more useful than classroom training	0						
Need for more specific, complex, detailed training	4						
materials needed Training is helpful, thorough, and practical.	4						
	3	6	27	55	35	126	2
Other	2%	5%	 21%	44%	28%	100%	
Comments:	# Respondents						
Additional PCard, General Ledger, Asset Management, Grants, advanced Purchasing, eMarket training is	7						
needed	1						
Training is relevant and easily accessible	5						
Found on-the-job training and working with peers more informative than classroom training	4						
Need additional cross-module training	3						
Need additional Reporting training	3						

## OMNI Survey Results 5/19/2009

7. Please rate your experience with entering information	on into OMNI.						
	Extremely Dissatisfied (0)	Dissatisfied (1)	Neutral (2)	Satisfied (3)	Extremely Satisfied (4)	Total	Average Score
Recruiting	9 3%	34 11%	65 21%	151 49%	48 16%	307 100%	2.6
Comments: Difficult to use/non-user-friendly/laborsome/too complex	# Respondents 22						
Difficult to retrieving data/cannot view data simultaneously as needed Cumbersome process for applicants/difficult to update	8						
an application/desire to print applications for applicants	7						
Departments have been valuable aid	4 3	11	65	214	135	428	3.1
Time entry/approval	3 1%	3%	05 15%	50%	32%	420 100%	3.1
Comments: Satsified with module/straight-forward/intuitive/efficient improvements with upgrade have made entering information easier	# Respondents 10						
Not user-friendly/laborsome/too many drill downs/counter intuitive	9						
Difficult due to lack of view-all employees or payperiod options for Dept Reps	7						
Cannot approve in bulk Inconsistency between Departments processes and employees entry	5 4						
Appointment Processing - ePAF	5 2%	4 2%	51 20%	135 53%	58 23%	253 100%	2.9
Comments: Satsified with module/straight-forward/intuitive/efficient improvements with upgrade have made entering information easier	# Respondents	278	20/0		10/1		
Enable ePafs to be done for all employees/instead of Ppaf Not user-friendly/cumbersome/processes are	4						
confusing Purchasing (eProcurement, Receiving)	2 1%	25 7%	64 19%	168 50%	76 23%	335 100%	2.9
Comments: Not user-friendly/laborsome/too many drill downs/counter intuitive Satsified with module/straight-forward/intuitive/efficient improvements with upgrade have made entering information easier Module does not meet the specific needs of my	# Respondents 14 9						~
Department	3 <b>2</b>	17	66	190	72	347	2.9
Travel/Expenses	1%	5%	19%	55%	21%	100%	-
Comments: Not user-friendly/laborsome/tedious/counter intuitive processes	# Respondents 8						
Satsified with module/straight-forward/intuitive/efficient improvements with upgrade	5						
Confusing business process/too many workarounds	5						
Ability to look up budget balances	5 2%	17 6%	60 21%	137 49%	62 22%	281 100%	2.8
Comments: Not user-friendly/laborsome/tedious/counter intuitive processes Difficult to retrieve data/consolidate number of quorioc/difficult pavigation	# Respondents 4 4						
queries/difficult navigation Satsified with reporting tools/OBI is a helpful	3						
Other	3 3%	6 6%	19 19%	49 49%	24 24%	101 100%	2.8
Comments: Slow processing speed	# Respondents 4						

# OMNI Survey Results 5/19/2009

Please rate your experience with extracting information ecruiting Comments: Time-consuming process/counter intuitive/difficult navigation Difficulty retrieving data/cannot view data simultaneously as needed Cumbersome process for applicants Limited views available Need for increased tracking and reporting capabilities Difficult to view and print cover letters, applications, and resumes			Neutral (2) 100 33%	Satisfied (3) 126 41%	Extremely Satisfied (4) 27 9%	Total 306 100%	Avera Score 2.4
Comments: Time-consuming process/counter intuitive/difficult navigation Difficulty retrieving data/cannot view data simultaneously as needed Cumbersome process for applicants Limited views available Need for increased tracking and reporting capabilities Difficult to view and print cover letters, applications,	Dissatisfied (0) 15 5% # Respondents 18 15 9	(1)	(2) 100	(3) 126	Satisfied (4) 27	306	Scor
Comments: Time-consuming process/counter intuitive/difficult navigation Difficulty retrieving data/cannot view data simultaneously as needed Cumbersome process for applicants Limited views available Need for increased tracking and reporting capabilities Difficult to view and print cover letters, applications,	<b>5%</b> # Respondents 18 15 9						2.4
Time-consuming process/counter intuitive/difficult navigation Difficulty retrieving data/cannot view data simultaneously as needed Cumbersome process for applicants Limited views available Need for increased tracking and reporting capabilities Difficult to view and print cover letters, applications,	# Respondents 18 15 9	12 /0		41/0	370	100 /8	
Time-consuming process/counter intuitive/difficult navigation Difficulty retrieving data/cannot view data simultaneously as needed Cumbersome process for applicants Limited views available Need for increased tracking and reporting capabilities Difficult to view and print cover letters, applications,	18 15 9						
navigation Difficulty retrieving data/cannot view data simultaneously as needed Cumbersome process for applicants Limited views available Need for increased tracking and reporting capabilities Difficult to view and print cover letters, applications,	15 9						
simultaneously as needed Cumbersome process for applicants Limited views available Need for increased tracking and reporting capabilities Difficult to view and print cover letters, applications,	9						
Cumbersome process for applicants Limited views available Need for increased tracking and reporting capabilities Difficult to view and print cover letters, applications,	9						
Limited views available Need for increased tracking and reporting capabilities Difficult to view and print cover letters, applications,							
Need for increased tracking and reporting capabilities Difficult to view and print cover letters, applications,	8						
Difficult to view and print cover letters, applications,							
	6						
	6						
ime entry/approval	2	16	88	200	106	412	3.0
	0%	4%	21%	49%	26%	100%	
Comments:	# Respondents						
Satsified with module/straight-forward/ intuitive/efficient	0						
improvements with upgrade have made extracting data easier	8						
OBI is a helpful tool for extracting data	4						
	2	20	62	127	49	260	2.8
ppointment Processing - ePAF	1%	8%	24%	49%	-5 19%	100%	
Comments:	# Respondents						
Limited views available	10						
Need for enhanced reporting capabilities/allow users to	4						
customize reports	4						
Satsified with module/efficient improvements with	4						
upgrade have made extracting data easier							
Need ability to re-appoint in ePaf/enter multiple ePafs for same employee/enter ePaf for <100% FTE	2						
	3						
	4	35	86	155	71	351	2.7
urchasing (eProcurement, Receiving)	1%	10%	25%	44%	20%	100%	
Comments:	# Respondents						
Limited views available	9						
Difficult to extract PO information	5						
Need for increased reporting capabilities/allow users to	4						
customize reports	4						
Counter intuitive/cumbersome processes	4						
Difficult to identify budget information	3						
ravel/Expenses	2	26	75	188	74	365	2.8
	1%	7%	21%	52%	20%	100%	
Comments:	# Respondents						
Not user-friendly/laborsome/tedious/counter intuitive processes	7						
Satsified with module/straight-forward/intuitive/efficient							
improvements with upgrade have made extracting data	5						
easier							
Need for increased reporting capabilities/allow users to	4						
customize reports							
Limited views available	3						
Difficulty retrieving data/need to retrieve information in bulk for all travelers	3						
	10	30	63	134	61	298	2.7
bility to look up budget balances	3%	10%	21%	45%	20%	100%	
Comments:	# Respondents						
Unable to use reporting features as desired for specific	11						
needs within Departments	11						
Satsified overall with reporting tools/OBI	9						
Difficult to decipher budget details/expenses	8						
Difficult to retrieve data/consolidate number of	7						
queries/difficult navigation							
Cumbersome process/many involve guesswork/must run running multiple reports to view all information	7						
	2	12	19	54	24	111	2.8
	2%	11%	17%	49%	22%	100%	
ther	_ / v						
Comments:	# Respondents					L L	
Comments: Overall OMNI is greatly improved & time-efficient							
Comments: Overall OMNI is greatly improved & time-efficient Flaws in Asset Management, Grants, General Ledger,	# Respondents 5						
Comments: Overall OMNI is greatly improved & time-efficient Flaws in Asset Management, Grants, General Ledger, Commitment Control, and Accounts Payable modules	# Respondents						
Comments: Overall OMNI is greatly improved & time-efficient Flaws in Asset Management, Grants, General Ledger, Commitment Control, and Accounts Payable modules make data extraction difficult	# Respondents 5 4						
Comments: Overall OMNI is greatly improved & time-efficient Flaws in Asset Management, Grants, General Ledger, Commitment Control, and Accounts Payable modules	# Respondents 5						

### OMNI Survey Results 5/19/2009

	Extremely	Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Extremely	Total	
	Dissatisfied (0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	Satisfied (4)	Total	Average Score
Human Resources	4 1%	18 4%	71 14%	275 55%	128 26%	496 100%	3.0
Comments:	# Respondents						-
Satsified with reliability of the module	5						
User error and inconsistent data entry makes data unreliable	5						
Inaccurate data on reports	4						
Difficult to decipher data	3						
Financials	2	20	61	241	139	463	3.1
	0%	4%	13%	52%	30%	100%	
Comments:	# Respondents						
Difficult to balance budgets exactly/must verify against other sources	5						
Poor/Limited views of budget detail	4						
Lengthy/untimely delays in processing time for expenditures	4						
Satsified with reliability of the module	4						
Difficult/cumbersome to extract data due to too much extraneous detail	4						

	Extremely Dissatisfied (0)	Dissatisfied (1)	Neutral (2)	Satisfied (3)	Extremely Satisfied (4)	Total	Average Score
Human Resources	5 1%	27 7%	97 24%	200 50%	71 18%	400 100%	2.8
Comments: Very satsified with HR reports and recent improvements	# Respondents						
Additional reports are needed to meet Departments' specific needs	8						
Difficult/cumbersome to extract data due to too much extraneous detail	5						
Appreciate ERP and HR cooperation	4						
Difficult to identify resources/consolidate number of queries	4						
Financials	8	38	96	184	72	398	2.7
	2%	10%	24%	46%	18%	100%	
Comments:	# Respondents						
Difficult/cumbersome to extract data due to too much detail/must run multiple reports to find all information	14						
Satsified with FI reports and recent improvements	8						
Additional reports are needed to meet Departments' specific needs	8						
Poor/Limited views of budget detail (esp. for construction accounts)	6						
Loss of functionality with OBI	4						
Difficult to identify resources/consolidate number of queries	4						

	Extremely Dissatisfied (0)	Dissatisfied (1)	Neutral (2)	Satisfied (3)	Extremely Satisfied (4)	Total	Average Score
Human Resources	2 0%	23 4%	120 23%	299 56%	87 16%	531 100%	2.8
Comments: Satsified with HR reports and recent improvements	# Respondents 7						
Difficult/cumbersome to extract data due to too much extraneous detail	8						
Input of daily users is needed to improve module	3						
Limited views available/include more relevant detail	3						
Financials	3 1%	33 7%	96 20%	263 56%	78 16%	473 100%	2.8

## OMNI Survey Results 5/19/2009

# Respondents

8

7

Con	nments:
	Difficult/cumbersome/not user friendly to extract data/too many screens
	Satsified with FI reports and recent improvements

	Extremely Dissatisfied (0)	Dissatisfied (1)	Neutral (2)	Satisfied (3)	Extremely Satisfied (4)	Total	Average Score
Services provided by Enterprise Resource Planning	7	11	95	147	91	351	2.9
(ERP) when you make OMNI inquiries	2%	3%	27%	42%	26%	100%	
Comments:	# Respondents						
Find ERP helpful	18						
Do not interact with ERP	11						
Not very responsive to special requests/not positive interactions/lack of customer service	6						
Help Deck convious related to OMNI	6	23	108	171	82	390	2.8
Help Desk services related to OMNI	2%	6%	28%	44%	21%	100%	
Comments:	# Respondents						
Find Help Desk services helpful/responsive	13						
Did not know of this service/don't use	11						
Job Aids and other on-line resources	6	31	127	167	88	419	2.7
Job Alds and other on-line resources	1%	7%	30%	40%	21%	100%	
Comments:	# Respondents						
Job aids are helpful	13						
Do not find them helpful/clear/easy to use/ need improvement	6						

13. What do you like most about the OMNI System?		
Quick access/ability to see and enter information in the system/integrated data and systems	112	
User friendly system/serves needs/made job easier/ease of		
navigation	80	
Convenience of on-line system/easily accessible	62	
Employees performing actions for themselves (Self Service) Automated workflow/streamlining processes via	22	
approvals/reduction of paperwork/email notifications	21	
Accuracy of the data/real time data	21	

14. What do you like the least about the OMNI System?		
Ease of Use/amount of time and steps to retrieve/enter		
information/learning curve	132	
System slowness and performance/outages	49	
Challenges with reports and queries	41	
Challenges with the Recruiting module	23	
System timing out too quickly	16	

15. Suggestions for additional modules/functions that would enhance the OMNI System.		
Additional reports/easier, more simple reports containing all the information you need in one place	13	
Update Recruiting module so applications can be viewed and printed easier/more intuitive/better notifications	10	
General usability improvements to OMNI	7	
Include student data/information in OMNI	6	
Improvements to training and documentation for end users	6	
Decentralized data entry (HR) and report creation for the		
departments	6	

16. Additional comments	
Think OMNI is a good tool; FSU has done well with it and continues to improve it over the years	21
Afraid of OMNI at first, but after receiving training think it's a great system/get great support from the UBA, ERP, and home	
team departments	5
Would like to be involved in future focus/testing groups. Would like to continue to receive training on OMNI to learn more about functionality in the system that some may not know exists	5
and continue to enhance my role The travel module is excellent, but the time it takes to process	4
from screen to screen is frustrating	3